## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BRIAN EVANS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

V.

FRANK PETERSON; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 04-16528 D.C. No. CV-04-00135-HG

> FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII

**JUDGMENT** 

at Jo'clock and 30mm M
SUE BEITIA, ELERK Ce

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii (Honolulu).

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii (Honolulu) and was duly submitted.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and hereby is **AFFIRMED**.

Filed and entered 07/13/05

A TRUE COPY
CATHY A CATTERSON
Clerk of Court
ATTEST

DEC 0 7 2005

by: Ruben Talavera
Deputy Clerk





## ORIGINAL NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED
JUL 1 3 2005

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BRIAN EVANS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FRANK PETERSON; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 04-16528

D.C. No. CV-04-00135-HG

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii Helen Gillmor, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 11, 2005\*\*

Before:

SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, RAWLINSON and BYBEE, Circuit

Judges.

Brian Evans, a professional singer, appeals pro se the district court's dismissal of his diversity action alleging fraud and breach of a recording contract against Frank Peterson, a German music producer, Nemo Studios, a German music

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

recording company, and Sarah Brightman, a British singer. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo the district court's determination that it lacks personal jurisdiction, *Schwarzenegger v. Fred Martin Motor Co.*, 374 F.3d 797, 800 (9th Cir. 2004), and review for abuse of discretion the district court's denial of leave to amend, *Gompper v. VISX, Inc.*, 298 F.3d 893, 898 (9th Cir. 2002). We affirm.

Evans did not allege that the defendants had "continuous and systematic general business contacts" with Hawaii upon which general jurisdiction could be based. *Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall*, 466 U.S. 408, 416 (1984). Similarly, Evans failed to show a basis for specific jurisdiction because he did not allege facts indicating that his claim arose from the defendants' forum-related acts, that the defendants purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of conducting activities in Hawaii, that the defendants' conduct and connection with Hawaii were such that they could have reasonably anticipated being haled into court there, and that jurisdiction over the defendants would comport with fair play and substantial justice. *See Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz*, 471 U.S. 462, 474-76 (1985). Accordingly, the district court properly concluded that it lacked personal jurisdiction over the defendants.

The district court did not abuse its discretion when it dismissed Evans' complaint without leave to amend, because the moving papers and oral argument revealed that amendment would be futile. See Gompper, 298 F.3d at 898.

AFFIRMED.

A TRUE COPY CATHY A. CATTERSON Clerk of Court ATTEST

DEC 0 7 2005

Ruben Talavera Deputy Clerk

MOATT ORALSC CLOSED

INTERNAL USE ONLY: Proceedings include all events. 04-16528 Evans v. Peterson, et al

BRIAN EVANS

Plaintiff - Appellant

Brian Evans

[NTC prs] 205 Lakewood Garden Dr.

Las Vegas, NV 89148

v.

FRANK PETERSON

Defendant - Appellee

Nenad Krek, Esq. FAX 808/523-0842

808/523-2500 Suite 2200 [COR LD NTC ret]

CARLSMITH BALL LLP 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813

SARAH BRIGHTMAN

Defendant - Appellee

Bruce E. Van Dalsem, Esq.

FAX 213-443-3100 213-443-3000

10th Floor

[COR LD NTC ret]

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &

HEDGES, LLP

865 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543

NEMO STUDIOS

Defendant - Appellee

Nenad Krek, Esq.

(See above)

[COR LD NTC ret]

ERLER MARCO

Defendant - Appellee

No appearance No appearance

MOATT ORALSC

CLOSED

INTERNAL USE ONLY: Proceedings include all events. 04-16528 Evans v. Peterson, et al

BRIAN EVANS

Plaintiff - Appellant

 $\nabla$ .

FRANK PETERSON; SARAH BRIGHTMAN; NEMO STUDIOS; ERLER MARCO
Defendants - Appellees